

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/774,925	01/31/2001	Sara H. Basson	YOR920000740US1	5320		
75	7590 02/27/2004			EXAMINER		
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP			BRANT, DMITRY			
90 Forest Avent Locust Valley,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			2655	<u> </u>		
	·		DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Se

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Application No.	Applicant(s)	OX.
	09/774,925	BASSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
*.	Dmitry Brant	2655	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mittet, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commun  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u> .	/31/2001.		
	his action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for all the condition for all the condition for all the condition for all the conditions are conditionally all the conditions are con	vance except for formal ma		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			121/d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a least company content of the priority document of the pri	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)
Patent and Trademark Office	-, المارة عن المارة	- <del></del> -	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The U.S. patents of Butnaru et al., Potts et al. and Van Schyndel teach computer-based apparatuses (systems) and hence the methods and computer code necessary to implement these systems are inevitably part of their teachings.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6-8, 10-17, 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butnaru et al. (6,240,392) in view of Potts et al. (6,593,956), and further in view of Jhabvala et al. (5,029,216)

As per claim 1 and 15, Butnaru et al. disclose a wearable device for people with hearing disabilities. The system comprises a wearable computer that is capable of displaying a variety of indicators (elem. 80, 90, FIG. 2)

Butnaru et al. do not disclose:

- identifying the location of the individual who is currently speaking during the event;
- determining whether the individual identified as the current speaker is within a field of view of the user;

- displaying a first visual indicator to the user, in accordance with the display system, in
  association with the individual identified as the current speaker when the individual is
  within the field of view of the user;
- displaying a second visual indicator to the user, in accordance with the display system,
   when the individual identified as the current speaker is not within the field of view of the user.

Potts et al. teach identifying the speaking individual using audio signals and video images and, if the speaker is not in the view, changing the camera view to his/her location (Col. 6, line 26-34). Potts et al. also teach the use of video camera (elem. 14, FIG. 3) for capturing images of people participating in the conference and a video based locator (elem. 60, FIG. 3) for processing the images.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Butnaru et al. as taught by Potts et al. in order create a wearable device containing a camera capable of locating, identifying and potentially zooming to the current speaker. This would allow the wearer of the system to identify and observe the current speaker through the display (viewfinder) of the wearable device.

### Potts et al. do not teach

- displaying a first visual indicator to the user, in accordance with the display system, in
  association with the individual identified as the current speaker when the individual is
  within the field of view of the user;
- displaying a second visual indicator to the user, in accordance with the display system,
   when the individual identified as the current speaker is not within the field of view of the user.

Jhabvala et al. teach the use of visual indicators to instruct the deaf users about the direction of incoming sounds (Col. 3, lines 2-8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a wearable device of Butnaru, modified with a speaker-locating capability taught by Potts et al. to utilize visual indicators as taught by Jhabvala et al., in order to allow the wearable system to identify the direction of incoming speech, and if the speaker was not in view, using visual indicators to instruct the user to turn his/her head in the appropriate direction. Similar to the many well-known uses of various indicators in normal life (turn signals in cars, green/red arrows on the intersection lights, highlighting of selected items on Windows, etc), the use of visual indicators with the display would assist deaf people in determining the direction of incoming speech and focusing on the current speaker.

As per claims 2, 16, and 17, Butnaru et al. disclose a head-mounted display system that is wearable by the user (elem. 120, FIG.1)

As per claim 6, Butnaru et al. do not disclose the step of identifying location of the individual based on audio information.

Potts et al. teach the use of audio-based locator (elem. 70, FIG. 3) that captures and processes audio signals from a microphone array and then determines the location of the audio source using speaker location module (elem. 114, FIG. 4 and Col. 17, lines 35-55)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Butnaru et al. as taught by Potts et al. in order to determine the location of the current speaker using audio information. Because the current speaker is most readily identified by having generated acoustic signals, audio-based locator would be the natural (and cheapest) choice for identifying the source of the incoming speech.

As per claim 7, Butnaru et al. do not disclose "the step of determining whether the individual identified as the current speaker is within the field of view of the user further comprises capturing directional data associated with the display system and positional data associated with the user."

Potts et al. teach determining whether the current speaker is within the view of the camera by processing the results of audio speaker location module (FIG. 13 and Col. 18, lines 34-39). Because the camera is mounted on the head of the user and faces in the same direction as the user, the apparatus described by Pott et al. would unavoidably have to take the position of the user and the direction of user's view as its frame of reference.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Butnaru et al. as taught by Potts et al. in order to determine whether the current speaker is within the field of view of the user using audio data because this would allow the system to either pinpoint the speaker or direct the user to look in some other direction in order to place the speaker within the user's view.

As per claim 8, Butnaru et al. disclose a system capable of displaying a variety of visual indicators, such as user's location (elem. 90, FIG.2)

Butnaru et al. do not disclose "displaying the first visual indicator further comprises correlating the location of the current speaker with the directional data associated with the display system and the positional data associated with the user."

Potts et al. teach determining whether the current speaker is within the view of the camera by processing the results of audio speaker location module (FIG. 13 and Col. 18, lines 34-39) by correlating the position of the speaker with the position of the microphones. Because the camera is mounted on the head of the user and faces in the same direction as the user (hence, the same location for camera, microphone and the user), the apparatus described by Pott et al. would unavoidably have to correlate the position of the user and the direction of user's view with the estimated position of the speaker.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify visual indicators described by Butnaru et al. to notify the user of current speaker's location, based on the information supplied by the method taught by Potts et al. This would allow the user to identify the current speaker who is in his field-of-view using the information obtained from the visual indicator and hence focus his/attention on the speaker.

As per claims 10-11, 19-20, Butnaru et al. disclose a system capable of displaying a variety of visual indicators on the computer screen (FIG.2)

Butnaru et al. do not disclose "visual indicator [that] comprises a change in at least one attribute associated with a representation of the individual identified as the current speaker on the display system", where the attribute is one of color and brightness.

The examiner takes official notice that computer screens have an inherent ability to display various images of varying colors and brightness. In addition, the technique of highlighting objects on the screen to bring them into the focus is well-known to the practitioners in computer arts. For example, Windows operating system changes the color and brightness of file folder images when user selects them.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that display system disclosed by Butnaru et al. to change the color and brightness of the current speaker's image in order to pinpoint the speaker to the user, because the change in the visual representation of the current speaker would quickly get attention of the user and allow him to focus on the speaker.

As per claims 12 and 21, Butnaru et al. disclose a system capable of displaying a variety of visual indicators on the computer screen (80, 90 FIG.2).

Butnaru et al. do not disclose that a "second visual indicator comprises a directional symbol displayed on the display system indicating to the user the direction to turn such that the current speaker is in the user's field of view."

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify visual indicators described by Butnaru et al. to notify the user of the current speaker's location. This would allow the user to determine whether the current speaker is in his/her field of view and, if not, turn the head in the direction shown

Application/Control Number: 09/774,925

Page 8

by the visual indicator, so as to see the speaker. Similar to the many well-known uses of various indicators in normal life (turn signals in cars, green/red arrows on the intersection lights, etc), the use of visual indicators with the display would assist the user in determining the direction of incoming speech and focusing on the current speaker.

As per claims 13-14 and 22, Butnaru et al. discloses the system that is capable of recognizing the speech using speech recognizer (elem. 55, FIG. 3) and displaying the text content to the user via a display system (Col. 5, lines 49-57).

4. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butnaru et al., Potts et al. and Jhabvala et al. as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Van Schyndel (5,940,118)

As per claim 3, Potts et al. discloses a video system that captures an image of the speaker (Col. 2, lines 18-20), determining the person is currently speaking and finding his location (Col. 2, line 39). Potts's system uses a scheme that requires less processing and identifies the active speaker based on the difference of flesh tones found between the current and previous video frames.

Butnaru et al., Potts et al. and Jhabvala et al. do not disclose "analyzing the one or more capture video images to determine which individual has one or more facial feature indicative of speech."

Van Schyndel teaches capturing images of the users and identifying current speaker based on his/her head and mouth movements and determining the location of that person (Col. 7, lines 35-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the wearable system of Butnaru et al., Potts et al. and Jhabvala et al. (specifically the part taught by Potts et al.) as taught by Van Schyndel, in order to improve the identification of the speaker, because detection of mouth movements would produce more reliable results at the expense of heavier image processing.

As per claim 4, Butnaru et al. do not disclose that "the step of determining whether the individual identified as the current speaker is within the field of view of the user further comprises capturing one or more video images of the field of view of the user."

Potts et al. discloses capturing and adjusting the field-of-view of the speaker in order to follow the speaker (Col. 2, line 63- Col.3, line 2)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Butnaru et al. as taught by Potts et al. in order to determine whether the individual currently speaking is within the field-of-view of the user wearing the system, because this determination would allow the system to either pinpoint the current speaker or direct the user to look in some other direction in order to place the speaker within the user's view.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butnaru et al., Potts et al. and Jhabvala et al., as applied to claim 4, and further in view of Hein et al. (6,466,250)

Butnaru et al. disclose a system capable of displaying a variety of visual indicators on the computer screen (FIG.2). Hence, the examiner takes official notice that computer screens have an inherent ability to display various images.

Potts et al. discloses capturing the image of the speaker (Col. 2, line 63- Col.3, line 2).

Butnaru et al., Potts et al. and Jhabvala et al. do not disclose that "the step of displaying the first visual indicator further comprises correlating at least a portion of the one or more video images captured of the individuals participating in the event with at least a portion of the one or more video images captured of the field of view of the user."

Hein et al. teaches placing the moving image of a speaker into the view of the user (Col. 6, lines 47-50)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Butnaru et al., Potts et al. and Jhabvala et al. as taught by Hein et al. in order to bring the speaker to the attention of the user by identifying him using visual emphasis. This would allow the user to quickly pinpoint the active speaker without having to look for other, less obvious indicators.

6. Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butnaru et al., Potts et al., and Jhabvala et al., as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Hein et al. (6,466,250)

Butnaru et al., Pott et al., and Jhabvala et al. do not disclose that a "first visual" indicator comprises a marker displayed in proximity to a representation of the individual identified as the current speaker on the display system."

Hein et al. teaches using a colored frame around the speaker's image in order to identify him to the viewer (Col. 7, lines 9-11)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify visual indicators described by Butnaru et al. as taught by Hein et al. in order to identify the current speaker located in the field of view of the user, because the change in the visual representation of the current speaker would quickly get attention of the user and allow him to focus on the speaker.

7. Claims 23, 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butnaru et al. in view of Potts et al. and further in view of Van Schyndel and Jhabvala et al.

Butnaru et al. disclose a wearable device for people with hearing disabilities. The system comprises a wearable computer that is capable of displaying a variety of indicators (elem. 80, 90, FIG. 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that a video camera would be necessary in order to establish the user's field of view. Because the camera would be situated on the user's head, it would only capture the information located within the user's view and thus give good indication of where the user is currently looking.

Butnaru et al. do not disclose:

Application/Control Number: 09/774,925

- one or more video cameras for capturing video images of the one or more individuals
   participating in the event;
- a video server coupled to the one or more video cameras and operative to: (i) analyze the
  captured video images to determine which individual has one or more facial features
  indicative of speech; and (ii) identify the location of the individual who is currently
  speaking during the event;
- identifying the location of the individual who is currently speaking during the event;
- determining whether the individual identified as the current speaker is within a field of view of the user;
- displaying a first visual indicator to the user, in accordance with the display system, in association with the individual identified as the current speaker when the individual is within the field of view of the user;
- displaying a second visual indicator to the user, in accordance with the display system,
   when the individual identified as the current speaker is not within the field of view of the user.

Potts et al. teach identifying the speaking individual using audio signals and video images and, if the speaker is not in the view, changing the camera view to his/her location (Col. 6, line 26-34). Potts et al. also teach the use of video camera (elem. 14, FIG. 3) for capturing images of people participating in the conference and a video based locator (elem. 60, FIG. 3) for processing the images.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Butnaru et al. as taught by Potts et al. in order create a wearable device containing a camera capable of locating, identifying and potentially zooming to the current speaker. This would allow the wearer of the system to

identify and observe the current speaker through the display (viewfinder) of the wearable device.

Butnaru et al. and Potts et al. do not teach

- a video server coupled to the one or more video cameras and operative to: (i) analyze the
  captured video images to determine which individual has one or more facial features
  indicative of speech; and (ii) identify the location of the individual who is currently
  speaking during the event;
- displaying a first visual indicator to the user, in accordance with the display system, in
  association with the individual identified as the current speaker when the individual is
  within the field of view of the user;
- displaying a second visual indicator to the user, in accordance with the display system,
   when the individual identified as the current speaker is not within the field of view of the user.

Van Schyndel teaches capturing images of the users and identifying current speaker based on his/her head and mouth movements and determining the location of that person (Col. 7, lines 35-46). Because video server is inherently just a computer with specialized software, Van Schyndel's computer-based system also reads on this part of the claim.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the wearable system of Butnaru et al. and Potts et al. as taught by Van Schyndel, in order to improve the identification of the speaker, because detection of mouth movements would produce more reliable results at the expense of heavier image processing.

Butnaru et al., Potts et al. and Van Schyndel do not teach:

displaying a first visual indicator to the user, in accordance with the display system, in
association with the individual identified as the current speaker when the individual is
within the field of view of the user;

displaying a second visual indicator to the user, in accordance with the display system,
 when the individual identified as the current speaker is not within the field of view of the user.

Jhabvala et al. teaches the use of visual indicators to instruct the deaf users about the direction of incoming sounds (Col. 3, lines 2-8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a wearable device of Butnaru, modified with a speaker-locating capability taught by Potts et al. and Van Schyndel to utilize visual indicators as taught by Jhabvala et al., in order to allow the wearable system to identify the direction of incoming speech, and if the speaker was not in view, using visual indicators to instruct the user to turn his/her head in the appropriate direction. Similar to the many well-known uses of various indicators in normal life (turn signals in cars, green/red arrows on the intersection lights, highlighting of selected items on Windows, etc), the use of visual indicators with the display would assist deaf people in determining the direction of incoming speech and focusing on the current speaker.

As per claims 25-26, Butnaru et al. disclose a system capable of displaying a variety of visual indicators on the computer screen (FIG.2)

Butnaru et al. do not disclose "visual indicator [that] comprises a change in at least one attribute associated with a representation of the individual identified as the current speaker on the display system", where the attribute is one of color and brightness.

The examiner takes official notice that computer screens have an inherent ability to display various images of varying colors and brightness. In addition, the technique of highlighting objects on the screen to bring them into the focus is well-known to the practitioners in computer arts. For example, Windows operating system changes the color and brightness of file folder images when user selects them.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that display system disclosed by Butnaru et al. to change the color and brightness of the current speaker's image in order to pinpoint the speaker to the user, because the change in the visual representation of the current speaker would quickly get attention of the user and allow him to focus on the speaker.

As per claims 27-28, Butnaru et al. discloses the system that is capable of recognizing the speech using speech recognizer (elem. 55, FIG. 3) and displaying the text content to the user via a display system (Col. 5, lines 49-57).

8. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butnaru et al., Potts et al. and Jhabvala et al., as applied to claim 23, and further in view of Hein et al.

Butnaru et al. do not disclose that a "first visual indicator comprises a marker displayed in proximity to a representation of the individual identified as the current speaker on the display system."

Hein et al. teaches using a colored frame around the speaker's image in order to identify him to the viewer (Col. 7, lines 9-11)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify visual indicators described by Butnaru et al. as taught by Hein et al. in order to identify the current speaker located in the field of view of the user, because the change in the visual representation of the current speaker would quickly get attention of the user and allow him to focus on the speaker.

### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Brant whose telephone number is (703) 305-8954. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (8:30am - 5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Talivaldis Ivars Smits can be reached on (703) 306-3011. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned to (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to Tech Center 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

DB

2/13/04

TALIVALDIS IVARS ŠMITS PRIMARY EXAMINER